		Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/991,281	JANCZAK, TOM	IASZ
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Inder P. Mehra	2617	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) Inder P. Mehra, Assistant Examiner,	(3)		
(2) Philip A. Pedigo, Attorney, Reg. No. 52,107.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>18 September 2006</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicat Eximple Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)		.
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: None			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL Per examiner's amendment, as set forth in office action, claims 1	••	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.	·		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writter ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
	JOHN PEZ PRIMARY EX		
Index Pal Melina 9/25/06 (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if apor	opriate)